

Exhibit C
Columbia River Fisheries
Management: 2013 and Beyond

Supplemental Public Correspondence

Public correspondence received
as of June 5th

Hello Director Elliker, Chairperson Levy, and Commissioners. Thank you for the opportunity to come before you today.

My name is Bruce Hansen. I am a native Oregonian and I have been fishing for over 60 years, both commercial and sport. I am a past Restoration and Enhancement board member serving 9 years on the board. Since duck season ended this year, I have fished over 75 days and have made a few observations during this time.

First I want to emphasize that I am only referring to the Willamette system where I have fished from St Helens to the angling and boat deadline at Oregon City Falls. This year, I have talked to over 200 fishermen, guides and Sauvie Island bank anglers. Here's what I heard:

1. They are mad as hell because there was no public hearing regarding the barbless hook regulation.
2. These are Oregonians who are fishing the spring Chinook, the icon of the Willamette River, to put high quality food on the dinner tables of their families—they are not catch and release trophy fly-fishermen—they are eating these fish fresh as possible and putting anything left into the smoker or canning jars—especially important during these hard economic times.
3. When Commissioner Anderson stated for the record that her father used barbless hooks while power trolling in the ocean and that worked well—I'm sure she was not speaking about how well barbless hooks work for a sport angler. I've trolled for over 30 years myself and would agree that barbless hooks are fine for trolling...but I can also state, without questions, that they do not work practically at all when sport angling. Power trollers don't stop and land a fish like anglers do.
4. Unless you do like some sport boat anglers and drag the poor fish around with the motor until you can get it close enough to net—and if it happened to have been a native fish—well, I'm sure mortality rates are not good.
4. Statistics indicate that the hooking mortality with barbed hooks was miniscule, only around 1% or less. I'm pretty sure the sea lions are doing more damage than that. I witnessed this first hand just last week at the Oregon City deadline.
6. In fact, I doubt the mortality rates are very good for the anglers who are using the third hook as a trailing hook. Anglers are using 3 hooks now: two in the herring and one trailer behind. This trailer will hook down in the gills, into the eye or outside the head somewhere.
7. And who would blame them? It takes on average 12 trips to land a fish while sport angling—that's a lot of money and time. In this economy, who could afford to go to Warrenton Seafood and pay \$39.90 a pound for a fish that we have always been able to catch ourselves?

It's not working like this, with barbless hook regulations nothing is really being resolved. So I am suggesting a solution that I think many will agree with:

This is my boating operator's license for which I had to take a visual and written test, this is my Northwest Oregon goose permit for the Willamette Valley, again a visual and written test that I have taken since the first year it was required. I also have a gill-netting tangle-net license for which I had to go to a four hour class to learn how to handle lethargic fish—again, I took the class the first year it was required.

So I'm suggesting that we repeal the barbless-hook regulation and instead implement a Chinook angler's barbed-hook permit. I don't think anyone else would object to having to obtain a license to use barbed hooks responsible—especially not when the catch is a very, very high quality food fish that Oregonians have depended on for generations.

Thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration.

Teri Kucera

From: Rick McClellan [RickMcClellan@live.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 2:53 PM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: Fall Salmon

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello,

My name is Rick McClellan and I have a few requests.

Every fall at some point in time (I believe mid September) you open the Columbia River to the gillnets every couple of days and thus chase off most of the sport fleet due to poor fishing which is due to the nets being in the river.

I live in Gresham and I can tell you the fish are just starting to show themselves up here (Chinook Landing area) in good numbers about the same time you "GIVE" the few (netters) most of the remaining run away .

Can't you "please" give those of us who fish the upper part of the Columbia more time to catch fish without the nets being in the river ?

Last year I fished three to four times a week and it was early September before I caught a fish. I fish with two friends and we only managed to put two fall fish in my boat last (zero in 2011). And let me say I'm no pilgrim.....

There is not one good reason why you can't postpone the gillnet season until mid October. Let the gill nets go chase the fish above Bonneville or let the natives have them but please allow those of us up here to fish without competing with these netters. They win and we lose when you do.

There is no reason why you can't tweak the schedule to favor those of us on the upper Columbia in allowing us more "quality time" to fish and thus catch more fish.

I really can't justify purchasing a fishing license to catch one or two fish a year much longer.

PS

At some point in time I am going to write to you about why I'm going to quit hunting in Oregon.....One more hunt in this state and I will be done.

Why don't you ask me why I will be hunting in Wyoming in the years ahead ? In my opinion when it comes to fish and Wildlife, Oregon is doomed or so it appears !

Teri Kucera

From: Mike Carter [mike.e4u@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 4:14 PM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: Extinction

My friends and I have fished Oregon and Washington since the 60s and we are wondering if the plan is to keep the steelhead and salmon on the edge of extinction to pacify the commercial interests, be they sport or gilnet? We have also wondered for years, why the fishery has not been shut down for 5 years? When the gold ran out the mining towns were allowed to die. Did anyone worry about the jobs that were lost then? From our perspective this illusion has gone on to long already. Do we have to spell it out for you, step by step?

Michael A Carter

Lance Thomson

From: Stephen Williams <stephen.h.williams@state.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 3:31 PM
To: Debbi Farrell; Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Barbless hook rule
Attachments: Barbless-Hooks.rtf

Please include Roberts letter in Public Correspondence on the June 6 Columbia River Issue. Thanks.

From: Robert Moxley [<mailto:northriverscout139@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 2:58 PM
To: stephen.h.williams@state.or.us
Subject: Fwd: Barbless hook rule

Steve.. Please include my letter regarding barbless hook's in the June fisheries review possess. Sincerely Robert Moxley Columbia river advisory committee.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Robert Moxley" <northriverscout139@gmail.com>
Date: Apr 29, 2013 7:53 PM
Subject: Barbless hook rule
To: "commission@dfw.wa.gov" <commission@dfw.wa.gov>

Barbless

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Robert Moxley" <northriverscout139@gmail.com>
Date: Apr 28, 2013 6:52 AM
Subject:
To: "Robert Moxley" <northriverscout139@gmail.com>

Lance Thomson

From: Debbi Farrell
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2013 12:59 PM
To: John Seabourne; Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: DNS 12-084: FISH AND WILDLIFE COMMISSION POLICY ON COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN SALMON MANAGEMENT - Multiple Counties
Attachments: Comments re SEPA Permit.pdf; SAFE Program Bibliography.pdf

Debbi Farrell

Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife
3406 Cherry Avenue NE
Salem, Oregon 97303
Phone (503) 947-6211
Fax (503) 947-6202
Debbi.L.Farrell@state.or.us

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2013 12:48 PM
To: Debbi Farrell
Subject: FW: DNS 12-084: FISH AND WILDLIFE COMMISSION POLICY ON COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN SALMON MANAGEMENT - Multiple Counties

From: Salmon For All [<mailto:info@salmonforall.org>]
Sent: Monday, December 31, 2012 11:03 AM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: FW: DNS 12-084: FISH AND WILDLIFE COMMISSION POLICY ON COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN SALMON MANAGEMENT - Multiple Counties

Attached please find general comments regarding the Determination of No Significance by the Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife for a proposed expansion of the Select Area Fishery Enhancement program to Cathlamet Channel, as explained below. Although Cathlamet Channel is in the Washington waters of the Columbia River, it is by law and policy under concurrent jurisdiction through the Columbia River Compact. As such, it is incumbent upon a reviewer to file comments with the Oregon Fish & Wildlife Commission as well as its Washington counterpart.

Examination of the relevant SAFE program documents during the hasty rush towards approval of Governor Kitzhaber's reallocation plan by the Oregon Fish & Wildlife Commission was as equally inadequate as the process now being undergone by the Washington Fish & Wildlife Commission. Reference should have been made to a number of the documents listed in the attached SAFE program bibliography, but was not. The evidence presented in those documents was ignored as if they did not exist. Quite the contrary, they are all part of the Administrative Record.

Respectfully,

Hobe Kytr, Administrator

Salmon For All

From: Salmon For All [mailto:info@salmonforall.org]
Sent: Monday, December 31, 2012 10:45 AM
To: 'SEPADesk2 (DFW)'
Cc: Washington Fish & Wildlife Commission
Subject: RE: DNS 12-084: FISH AND WILDLIFE COMMISSION POLICY ON COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN SALMON MANAGEMENT - Multiple Counties

Salmon For All is a nonprofit trade association of Columbia River commercial fishermen and processors, representing the Columbia River non-Indian gillnet fishery. Our members include fishermen and processors both in Washington and in Oregon

Attached please find general comments regarding the Determination of No Significance for the SEPA permit to proceed with the proposed expansion of the Select Area Fishery Enhancement program to Cathlamet Channel. Cathlamet Channel was among the seven sites extensively studied in the mid 1990s for the potential expansion of the successful terminal fishery concept developed originally in Youngs Bay, adjacent to Astoria, Oregon. At that time, Cathlamet Channel, along with Clifton Channel and Wallace Slough were not found to meet the rigorous site selection criteria for the program, which eventually became known as the Select Area Fishery Enhancement (SAFE) program. No reference to the extensive project documents is noted in the DNS-12-084 or the accompanying Environmental Checklist. Without examination of the relevant documents, the DNS as written is woefully inadequate. A full SAFE program bibliography is appended.

Respectfully,

Hobe Kytr, Administrator
Salmon For All

From: SEPADesk2 (DFW) [mailto:SEPADesk2@dfw.wa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, December 19, 2012 10:55 AM
To: SEPADesk2 (DFW)
Subject: DNS 12-084: FISH AND WILDLIFE COMMISSION POLICY ON COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN SALMON MANAGEMENT - Multiple Counties

The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife has prepared the attached DNS in accordance with the State Environmental Policy Act regulation. This DNS is being circulated for review by all agencies with jurisdiction. We are circulating it for comments on the **environmental impacts** of this action. Additional background information may be found on WDFW's SEPA Web Site: http://wdfw.wa.gov/licensing/sepa/sepa_comment_docs.html

We appreciate your review of the proposal and return of comments no later than **January 3, 2013**. This proposal will not be acted upon before that time.

Note: These documents are presented to evaluate the environmental impacts that would occur if Department of Fish and Wildlife proceeds with the Management Strategies for Columbia River Recreational and Commercial Fisheries and construction and use of additional fish rearing pens in off-channel areas. This process evaluates impacts only on to the natural and the built environments.

Any potential economic impacts are discussed in WDFW's Small Business Impact Statement that is part of the rule development process. The decision on whether to proceed or not proceed with this Plan is with Washington's Fish

and Wildlife Commission. Comments on that question should be sent directly to the Commission
COMMISSION@dfw.wa.gov

Bob Zeigler

SEPA Responsible Official

360/ 902-2578

Habitat Program

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

600 Capitol Way North

Olympia, WA 98501-1091

SEPAdesk2@dfw.wa.gov

SEPA Permit/Declaration of Non-Significance comments

General Comments:

While the SEPA checklist mentions the possibility of 2 or more locations for net pens, only one of these is actually identified as a certainty in Washington, that in Deep River. Cathlamet Channel is mentioned as a possibility, and there is no third location given, just the comment "or another location in the Lower Columbia River" (p. 1, no. 6). It is also suggested that Oregon may have three sites, and that the two departments are working together, but it is not clear as to whether the Oregon sites are part of this checklist or not. They also are not identified. It is not possible for the public to comment on locations that are not specified, and this situation needs to be rectified and, at a minimum, the SEPA checklist redone and resubmitted for public comment.

While 14 days is the minimum requirement for such a checklist, in fact, in the fourteen days the document was out for review, 4 days were weekend days and two were holidays (Christmas and New Year). While perhaps meeting the letter of the law technically, on a policy change of this magnitude, such a compressed period of time for comment by the public and other agencies is hardly in the interests of generating significant and vital information that might be available with a longer time period in which to comment.

p. 2, no. 8. The Department cites a Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) document on its website, "Finding of No Significant Impact for Lower Columbia River Terminal Fisheries Research Project," as its sole source of environmental information. This document is one of two prepared by BPA in 1993 and 1995, the early years of exploration of SAFE area possibilities. Since that time there have been further studies on this subject, and a bibliography is appended to this commentary. Of particular note are the test data compiled regarding catches of non-local stocks at various sites, including, but not limited to the Cathlamet Channel, contained in Paul Hirose et al. studies, "Columbia River: Terminal Fisheries Research Report," Annual Report 1994, Bonneville Power Administration (Portland, 1996), pp. 51-73; and "Columbia River: Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project, 1995-96 Annual Reports," Bonneville Power Administration (Portland, 1998), pp. 81-143. Further, Marc Miller et al. (2002; 1) provided the following comment regarding the history of the SAFE area project: "The project has been conducted in three distinct stages: an initial research phase to investigate potential sites, salmon stocks, and methodologies (Hirose et al. 1996 & 1998); a second phase of expansion in Youngs Bay and introduction into areas of greatest potential as shown from the initial stage; and a final phase of establishment of terminal fisheries at full capacity at all acceptable sites." The Department has ignored these data on various locales that were tested over a decade ago in declaring newly proposed and in some cases unidentified projects "non-significant." The expansion of the terminal fisheries concept was not a haphazard process. It was done by respected marine biologists adhering to the highest standards of the best available scientific evidence. They tested the various areas under strict criteria to ensure that conservation standards were met. In order to expand into new areas, their data regarding bycatch and listed species need to be consulted. Further, other species e.g. tule fall Chinook, green sturgeon and lower Columbia coho, have been listed in the interim. The Hatchery Scientific Review Group's report on hatchery reform plus the development of the Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery Plan have also altered the environmental and scientific background now available from that in place during the BPA study cited. The checklist as it stands is incomplete and inadequate and leads to the false conclusion that the proposal for new select areas qualifies for a "Declaration of Non-significance."

It would be more appropriate for the Department to issue, at a minimum, a declaration of significance application (DS) instead, or an environmental impact statement (EIS), and submit them for fuller public comment. When the evidence and background material regarding off-channel fisheries of the past decade and a half is examined, a much fuller application for this project is justified under today's conditions.

p. 2, no. 7 states that "WDFW would develop and implement selective-fishing gear and techniques for commercial mainstem fisheries to optimize conservation and economic benefits consistent with mainstem recreational objectives." However, on p. 2, no. 10, the Department notes that NOAA Fisheries has already issued a Biological Opinion that fisheries operated under the U.S. v Oregon Management Agreement of May 8, 2102, meet the "no jeopardy" standard. Since NMFS has already said that the gillnet fleet does not pose a jeopardy to ESA listed salmonids, the document begs the question of why the Department keeps insisting that the new gear (as yet still in experimental stages) is for conservation purposes. Further, NMFS has not issued a no-jeopardy declaration for seines or other alternative gear for any area, nor does there appear to be any mention in the SEPA checklist of NMFS re-evaluating what an expanded sport fishery in the mainstem might do to damage listed species.

p. 7 error. Salmon, sockeye U.S.A. should be E.S.U.

p. 8. "NOAA Fisheries has provided a Biological Opinion that fisheries operated under the "U.S. v Oregon" Management Agreement" dated May 2008 meet the "no jeopardy" standard, and do not pose jeopardy to ESA-listed salmonids." The Department specifies in this SEPA checklist (e.g. p. 14, no. 2) that beach seine and purse seine fisheries are the alternative gears being planned under this application. These gears were not part of the May 2008 agreement, and have not been vetted under the U.S. v Oregon agreement, nor under a Sec. 7 Consultation as required under the Endangered Species Act.

p. 11 no. 12 b, Similar to the previous comment, "All fisheries in the mainstem Columbia River are managed conservatively and monitored by highly trained staff with Washington and Oregon departments of Fish and Wildlife...All fisheries are managed to remain within the guidelines of the ESA and other co-manager agreements." If this is what the Washington and Oregon departments are already doing, then why is the new management regime being proposed as a conservation measure? Has the Department in reality not been managing for conservation?

p. 14, no. 5. No mention is made about permitting processes needed in order for commercial fishers to access shorelines for beach-seining. Some shorelines are owned by the federal government, others are privately owned and some are state-owned. What kind of permitting process is in place to assure that fishers will be able to access these sites?

SAFE Program Bibliography

- BPA. "Lower Columbia River Terminal Fisheries Research Project: Final Environmental Assessment," Bonneville Power Administration. DOE/BP-2576 (Portland, 1995).
- BPA. "Youngs Bay Salmon Rearing and Release Program: Final Environmental Assessment," Bonneville Power Administration. DOE/EA-0852 (Portland, 1993).
- Clark, Michael L. and L.A. Helfrich. "Comparison of Water Quality and Rainbow Trout Production in Oxygenated and Aerated Raceways," North American Journal of Aquaculture, vol. 68 Issue 1, 2003, pp 41-46.
- Eaton, Bob, et al. "Lower Columbia River Salmon Business Plan for Terminal Fisheries, Final Report," Bonneville Power Administration. DOE/BP-39254-1 (Portland, 1996).
- Hill, J.M. and Olson, T. "Evaluation of a Low-Cost Salmon Production Facility, Annual Report 1988," Bonneville Power Administration, DOE/BP-11887-5 (Portland, 1988).
- Hirose, Paul, et al. "Columbia River: Terminal Fisheries Research Report," Annual Report 1994, Bonneville Power Administration (Portland, 1996).
- Hirose, Paul, et al. "Columbia River: Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project, 1995-96 Annual Reports," Bonneville Power Administration (Portland, 1998).
- Hulett, Patrick, et al. "Select Area Fishery Enhancement Project, FY 2009 Annual Report, October 2008 - September 2009," BPA Project # 199306000, US Dept. of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, July 2010.
http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/OSCRP/CRM/reports/09_reports/2009%20SAFE%20Annual.pdf (accessed November 8, 2012).
- HSRG (Hatchery Scientific Review Group) Columbia River Hatchery Reform System-Wide Report / February, 2009, and White Papers No. 1 and No. 8.
http://www.hatcheryreform.us/hrp/reports/system/welcome_show.action (accessed December 27, 2012).
- ISRP. "Preliminary Review of FY 2007-09 Proposals for the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program," Independent Scientific Review Panel, ISRP 2006-4, June 2006.
- ISRP & IEAB. "Review of the Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project, 1993-2003 Final product Completion Report (J. North et al. June 2004) Project #1990-060-00." ISRP & IEAB 2005-8. March, 2005.
- ISRP & IEAB. "Review of the Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project Reports: Final Completion Report, October 1993 to October 2005 (April 2006) and Economic Analysis Study (November 2006) Project #1993-060-00." Independent Scientific Review Panel and Independent Economic Advisory Board." ISRP & IEAB 2007-3. April 11, 2007.

- Jenkins, K. "Washington Columbia River and Tributary Stream Survey Sampling Results, 2007, Columbia River Progress Report," WDFW, Vancouver, WA, 2008.
- Jenkins, K. "Washington Columbia River and Tributary Stream Survey Sampling Results, 2006. Columbia River Progress Report," WDFW, Vancouver, WA, 2007.
- Joint Columbia River Management Staff. "2012 Joint Staff Report: Stock Status and Fisheries for Fall Chinook Salmon, Coho Salmon, Chum Salmon, Summer Steelhead, and White Sturgeon," ODFW & WDFW, 2012.
- Litton, Rod. Youngs Bay Benthic Invertebrate Study. Prepared for Oregon Department of Environmental Quality under Permit No. 101767, 2007.
- LCFRB, "Lower Columbia "2010 Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan," <http://www.lcfrb.gen.wa.us/Recovery%20Plans/RP%20Frontpage.htm> (accessed December 27, 2012).
- Miller, Marc, et al. "Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project, 1997–2000 Annual Reports," Bonneville Power Administration (Portland, 2002).
- North, John, et al. "Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project, 1993–2005 Final Report," Bonneville Power Administration (Portland, 2006). DOE/BP-00004121-1 http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/OSCRP/CRM/reports/06_reports/safe_final.pdf (accessed November 8, 2012).
- ODFW. "1994 Youngs Bay Spring Chinook Fishery Fact Sheet & Recommendations," 1994.
- ODFW. Project Proposal Request for FY 2007-2009 Funding (Revised Summer 2006): Proposal 199306000: Select Area Fisheries Enhancement Project.
- ODFW, "Final Draft: Lower Columbia River Conservation and Recovery Plan for Oregon Populations of Salmon and Steelhead, August 6, 2010," http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/CRP/docs/lower-columbia/OR_LCR_Plan%20-%20Aug_6_2010_Final.pdf (accessed December 27, 2012).
- Radtke, Hans, and Davis, Shannon. "Lower Columbia River/Youngs Bay Terminal Fisheries Expansion Project: Study of an Expanded Salmon Smolt Acclimation and Release Program in the Lower Columbia River/Youngs Bay Area." Salmon For All, January 1996.
- Takata, Tanna T. "Oregon lower Columbia River Fall and Winter Chinook Spawning Ground Surveys, 1952-2006, Focus on 2006." Oregon Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, Columbia River Management, 2006.
- Takata, Tanna T. "Oregon lower Columbia River Fall and Winter Chinook Spawning Ground Surveys, 1952-2007, Focus on 2007," Oregon Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, Columbia River Management, 2007.

- Takata, Tanna T. "Oregon lower Columbia River Fall and Winter Chinook Spawning Ground Surveys, 1952-2008, Focus on 2008," Oregon Dept. of Fish & Wildlife Columbia River Management, 2008.
- The Research Group. "Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project, Economic Analysis Study, Final Report." Prepared for Bonneville Power Administration, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. November 2006.
- Vreeland, R. and R. Wahle. "Homing behavior and contribution to Columbia River fisheries of marked coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*, released at two Columbia River locations." Fishery Bulletin Vol. 81, No. 1. 1983.
- Vreeland, R.R. et al. "Homing behavior and contribution to Columbia River fisheries of marked coho salmon released at two locations." Fishery Bulletin U.S. 73:717-725.
- Warren, Robert unpublished. "Emigration Rate of Net-pen Reared Spring Chinook Salmon from Youngs Bay, Oregon and an Investigation of the Relationship of Gill ATPase on Migratory Behavior, Draft Summary Report," prepared for Salmon For All. Cooperators: B. Ebberts, Portland District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; T. Jones, Clatsop Economic Development Council; C. Schreck, Oregon State University.
- Whisler, Geoffrey, et al. "Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project, 2006 Annual Report," BPA Project #199306000, US Dept. of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, September 2006.
- Whisler, Geoffrey, et al. "Select Area Fishery Enhancement Project, FY 2007-08 Annual Report, October 2006 – September 2008," BPA Project # 199306000, US Dept. of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, October 2009.
http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/OSCRP/CRM/reports/08_reports/SAFE_07_08AnnRept.pdf (accessed November 8, 2012).

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission <odfw.commission@state.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2013 10:29 AM
To: Debbi Farrell; John Seabourne; Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Support the Governor's Gillnets Compromise

Please print for Exhibit ^C~~K~~-Supplemental Correspondence. To be handed out at Commission meeting next week.

Thanks,
Teri Kucera

-----Original Message-----

From: Sara Stayte [<mailto:srstayte@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2013 8:44 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Support the Governor's Gillnets Compromise

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to express my support for Governor Kitzhaber's compromise proposal to reform the use of gillnets for non-tribal commercial fishing on the Columbia River.

Gillnets are an inherently non-selective fishing practice. Mesh sizes small enough to capture hatchery salmon are also small enough to also capture salmon from endangered wild runs. The Columbia River is home to dozens of different runs of wild salmon and steelhead, including 13 runs listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act.

The indiscriminate nature of gillnets means other native fish, like the Columbia's declining population of white sturgeon, are needlessly captured, injured and often killed. In addition, gillnets can entangle diving birds, otters, beavers and other wildlife, causing them to drown after prolonged suffering. This is especially true of gillnets abandoned by their users after being snagged or lost in the river. The plastic filament which nets are made of can take decades to decompose, meaning these "ghost nets" indiscriminately capture and kill Columbia River fish and wildlife for years after they are lost.

Governor Kitzhaber has offered a compromise plan for addressing the problems caused by commercial gill-netting on the Columbia River, including several principles I support:

- The phase out of non-tribal commercial gillnets in the mainstem of the Columbia River. Commercial gillnets would be moved to select, off channel 'safe' zones where harm to non-target species would be greatly reduced.
- Development of more selective fishing gear for mainstem commercial fisheries, which would allow for a vibrant and sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia while minimizing harm to threatened and endangered salmon and steelhead and reducing harm to non-target fish and wildlife.
- Requirements for commercial fishing operations on the Columbia and off-channel areas to report lost nets to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife in a timely fashion and clearly tag and label their nets as a means of enforcing the reporting requirement.

Governor Kitzhaber's compromise proposal is a step forward in resolving decades of conflict over gillnets on the Columbia River. It offers Oregon the chance to advance the protection and restoration of wild salmon and steelhead

runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

Please adopt a rule which would enact Governor Kitzhaber's compromise proposal to reform the use of gillnets for non-tribal commercial fishing on the lower Columbia River.

Thank you,

Sara Stayte
5433 36th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98126

Lance Thomson

From: Moonlight Micro <moonlightmicro@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:22 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbed hooks for Salmon and Steelhead in the Willamette and Columbia

Dear ODFW Commission,

Please reconsider the ban on barbed hooks.

I have fished for Salmon and Steelhead in the Portland area for 30 years, but with a full time job and 3 kids I actually only get out 6-10 times per year. Most of the last 15 years I am only able to retain 3-5 fish if I can land them.

Common sense tells me that a barb on a hook merely helps hold the hook in the fish at the point site, which when trolling or anchor fishing in the Willamette and Columbia rivers is usually in the jaw and rarely in the throat. I understand that plunking with bait, the hook may be swallowed deeper, but those fish will likely be mortally wounded regardless of whether the hook is barbed or not.

I believe barbed hooks help in being able to land hatchery fish, which is the main reason I buy my licenses and spend money on a boat and fishing supplies. I understand that the mortality rate for releasing fish landed with barbed hooks is not very different than fish landed with barbless hooks, but having the barb helps to keep the hook in a fishes mouth when less skilled fishermen (kids) allow lack in the line as they try to bring in one of these prize fish for the table.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best Regards,

Chris Neimann
4175 NW Carlton Ct.
Portland, Or 97229
503-629-5808

Lance Thomson

From: Dawn and Mark Duray <fishnduray@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:31 PM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: Barbless hooks

To who it may concern,

Please repeal the barbless hook rule.

Thank you

Mark Duray

Lance Thomson

From: Jeff Reid <jreid@ellisontechnologies.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2013 9:43 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless Hooks

I believe the barbless hook ban is a poor rule on the Columbia and the Willamette. There are some fisheries that I will no longer take part in because of this ruling. Please reverse your decision.

Thank You, Jeff Reid
Fishing Guide
Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Boundary Defense for Email Security System. For more information please visit <http://www.apptix.com/email-security/antispam-virus>

Lance Thomson

From: Chris Kiepke <chriskiepke@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 8:55 AM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: Barbless hook rule consideration

Please reconsider the barbless hook rule. This has made fishing for my 8 yr old son extremely frustrating. As young fisherman you want to have them enjoy success. They do not have the experience or the mechanical abilities to make sure everything is perfect and tight to keep the barbless hook in the salmon's mouth. For an example, last year he caught 4 springers by himself with the fish jumping, twisting, and every other maneuver that they attempted. This year, he has had 5 fish on, and all have thrown the hook from twisting or jumping. He is not enjoying losing these fish and asks what he is doing wrong. I want him to enjoy fishing and continue as I did with my dad, then want to bring his own son fishing. You have a chance to make this right for the fall run. I ask that you take this email into consideration and reverse the barbless hook rule. Thank you.

Lance Thomson

From: Kyle Hensley <kyleehensley@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 8:57 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Columbia River barbed hook ban

Commissioners:

It is my understanding you will be re-addressing the barbed hook ban on the Columbia River and its tributaries at your June 6 meeting. I urge you to do so, and remove this ban. There is no documented scientific proof that barbed hooks increase mortality on salmon and steelhead. If the ban is simply based upon hypothesis and theories, it is just as possible that barbless hooks cause MORE mortality, due to fish being hooked deeper into the tissue, and many more fish being hooked and not landed.

Please overturn this ban.

Kyle Hensley
Oregon Native and lifetime fisherman
Lafayette, OR

Lance Thomson

From: Neang, Lavin <Lavin.Neang@pacificorp.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:03 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Cc: Lijjenwall, Michael; Campbell, Craig; AJwatersiv; B KOV; whatupbee@yahoo.com; kurtmoore@hotmail.com; fastasali@yahoo.com; scooper219@yahoo.com; swdoyle58@gmail.com; Hanson, Gregory (IT)
Subject: reversing the barb ban

http://www.dfw.state.or.us/agency/commission/minutes/13/06_june/index.asp

I'm one for voting to reverse the barb ban. To see hatchery fish lost at the net from head shake is disheartening.

Thank you,

Lavin Neang



Lance Thomson

From: Hanson, Gregory (IT) <Gregory.Hanson@pacificorp.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:04 AM
To: Neang, Lavin; odfw.commission@state.or.us
Cc: Liljenwall, Michael; Campbell, Craig; AJwatersiv; B KOV; whatupbee@yahoo.com; kurtmoore@hotmail.com; fastasali@yahoo.com; scooper219@yahoo.com; swdoyle58@gmail.com
Subject: RE: reversing the barb ban

Agreed

Thanks
Greg Hanson
Security Administrator

From: Neang, Lavin
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:03 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Cc: Liljenwall, Michael; Campbell, Craig; AJwatersiv; B KOV; whatupbee@yahoo.com; kurtmoore@hotmail.com; fastasali@yahoo.com; scooper219@yahoo.com; swdoyle58@gmail.com; Hanson, Gregory (IT)
Subject: reversing the barb ban

http://www.dfw.state.or.us/agency/commission/minutes/13/06_june/index.asp

I'm one for voting to reverse the barb ban. To see hatchery fish lost at the net from head shake is disheartening.

Thank you,

Lavin Neang



Lance Thomson

From: Bernie Buckle <bernie@bucklescollision.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:08 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless hooks

Commission.

Please reconsider allowing barbed hooks for river and estuary fish this season. There is no viable science proving that barbless hooks used in the river is any better a method than barbed fish. It is how the fish is hooked whether barbless or not that determines if it will survive. It is nothing more than a feel good thing that was asked by the gillnetters as punishment for sports fishers asking that gillnetting be stopped on the Columbia.

Also, I cannot believe you folks stole a million spring chinook smolts from the willamette basin and gave them to commercial interests. How dare you do this. The sport fishers put millions of dollars into this economy and not one of those commercial fisherman that net depend on that income to survive. It is a practice that needs to be stopped.

Sincerely,

Bernie Buckle

Hello, This is a new email address for me. We have a new domain. www.bucklescollision.com
My email is now bernie@bucklescollision.com

Please update your address book
Thanks

Bernie

Lance Thomson

From: Jim Milanowski <jimmilanowski@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:09 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barb ban Reverse

Dear ODFW Commission,

Please reverse the barbless hook rule on the Columbia and its tributaries for salmon and steelhead. According to the "Toman study", the barbless rule has little impact on survival rates of salmonoids. Barbless hooks discourages youth and people new to fishing from this sport because of lower landing rates without barbs.

Thanks,

Jim Milanowski
503 803 0969

Sent from my iPhone

Lance Thomson

From: Mathew Zuniga <mathew.zuniga@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 10:26 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless hooks Concern

Dear ODFW Commission,

I have been an avid angler in the PNW for over 30 years. I have been very disappointed with the newly imposed barbless hook rules in the Willamette and Columbia rivers. I feel like this rule was simply imposed over a suggestion by commercial fishermen and not really given much consideration. I have a disabled son that has a hard enough time getting around and trying to keep a fish on the hook is near impossible for him. We like to harvest our hatchery fish, it is a resource given to us, why make it more difficult?

Thanks
Mathew Zuniga

Lance Thomson

From: Ryan Sexton <rsexton@JRABBOTT.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 11:00 AM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: Barbless rule 6/6/12 meeting

To whom it may concern:

Please reconsider approving the barbless rules as there is no scientific back up justify it. If the barbless rule must remain, please adjust our mortality to show the added benefits, (of which there isn't any proven).

Thanks,

Ryan Sexton
Senior Project Engineer



307 SE Hawthorne Avenue, Suite 150
Portland, OR 97214
O: 503.213.4033
F: 503.293.2400
Cell: 503.890.4542
rsexton@jrabbott.com

Lance Thomson

From: Sullivan, Sean <sean.sullivan@intel.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 12:12 PM
To: 'odfw.commission@state.or.us'
Subject: Barbless

Please reverse the barbless rule for salmon and steelhead. It simply makes retention of hooked fish very difficult, and provides no help to the fish overall. When you have to hook multiple fish just to fill a limit it is more damaging to the fish than catching and keeping the first hooked/caught.

Best regards,

Sean Sullivan

Lance Thomson

From: Laura Hudson <laura.hudson76@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 12:28 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: barbless hooks

Hello,

I ask you to please change the barbless hook rule. It is already so difficult to catch a salmon or a steelhead. I hate to see new fishermen and kids lose their only chance at a fish because they let the line go slack. After this happens, they are not likely to try again.

This law does little to help the fish, and harms our future fishermen. I would like my little kids to have a chance to learn to love fishing as I do.

Thank you so much for your consideration,
-Laura Hudson
Oregon City

Lance Thomson

From: Charles Meyer <cmeyer@peak.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 12:36 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless Hooks

Hello,

I would like to express my disagreement with banning barbed hooks.
Seems this happens every so often, and keeps coming back.

Please allow barbed hooks.

Thanks,
Charles Meyer

Lance Thomson

From: Mike Gagne <mikegagne02@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 12:46 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barb ban

ODFW-

Please reverse the barb ban. Watching so many little kids lose hatchery fish because of the barb ban is disheartening. More fish are hooked because of this silly rule. There is just no need for this rule.

Lance Thomson

From: Joe Dwight <j.dwight@frontier.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 12:56 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: barbless hook vote june 6

Please vote no to renew barbless requirements based on scientific reasons not political reasons. Thank you Joe Dwight Tualatin,Or

Lance Thomson

From: Mike Timberman <mtimberman@frontier.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 1:14 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: barbless hooks on the Columbia/Willamette/tributaries

The Commission will consider removing the recently enacted barbless hook rule for the Columbia and tributaries for salmon/steelhead fishermen at the upcoming meeting. As you probably know, this rule was enacted without much debate of the merits, and appears to be nothing more than a concession to commercial fishermen enraged over the newly enacted rules governing their businesses.

Staff recommendation is to retain the rule.

How the staff arrived at that recommendation is hard to fathom. It's been show time and again that use of barbed hooks has little affect on the mortality of released fish. For example, a few years ago the Commission abandoned the barbless hook rule on the Deschutes River, a rule that had been in place for decades. The reasoning behind this change? Barbless hooks were shown to have no appreciable effect on fish mortality.

As you're probably aware, sport fishermen for the most part don't favor the use of barbless hooks for the Columbia/Willamette fisheries. You'd be wise to listen carefully to your constituents on this issue; they're fully aware that the rule makes no sense from a fish conservation standpoint, and the Commission should be open minded enough to acknowledge the mistake and rescind the rule.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Michael Timberman

Lance Thomson

From: Ryan <robertslezak@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 1:36 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless Hook Ban on Willamette and Columbia

The Commission will consider removing the recently enacted barbless hook rule for the Columbia and tributaries for salmon/steelhead fishermen at the upcoming meeting. As you probably know, this rule was enacted without much debate of the merits, and appears to be nothing more than a concession to commercial fishermen enraged over the newly enacted rules governing their businesses. Staff recommendation is to retain the rule.

How the staff arrived at that recommendation is hard to fathom. It's been shown time and again that use of barbed hooks has little impact on the mortality of released fish. For example, a few years ago the Commission abandoned the barbless hook rule on the Deschutes River, a rule that had been in place for decades. The reasoning behind this change? Barbless hooks were shown to have no appreciable effect on fish mortality.

As you're probably aware, sport fishermen for the most part don't favor the use of barbless hooks for the Columbia/Willamette fisheries. You'd be wise to listen carefully to your constituents on this issue; they're fully aware that the rule makes no sense from a fish conservation standpoint, and the Commission should be open minded enough to acknowledge the mistake and rescind the rule.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

This message may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not the addressee or authorized to receive this for the addressee, you must not use, copy, disclose, or take any action based on this message or any information herein. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete this message. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sent from my iPhone

Lance Thomson

From: Nick <nick@kineticlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 1:51 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Cc: Mathew Zuniga (mathew.zuniga@gmail.com)
Subject: Please overturn barbless rule

Having now endured a season of losing fish with barbless hooks in both the Willamette and Columbia, I implore you to overturn this silly rule. I have a degree in biology from the University of Alaska, am an environmental attorney, and have been fishing since I was two years old. I have not seen any evidence, either academic or practical, that barbless hooks make any difference at all in native fish mortality. This rule was obviously a reaction by the gill net community in response to the possibility that gillnetting might be restricted on the Columbia. This rule will make it very difficult for kids and inexperienced anglers to land salmon, and especially steelhead. This year, I watched people who have fished for 50 years lose half of their fish on barbless hooks. This is a terrible rule that you should overturn. Thank you for your time.

Best regards,
-Nick Dazer

**NICHOLAS
DAZER PC**

121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 475 | Portland, OR 97204

Tel: (503) 953-1043 | Fax: (503) 953-1039

Email: nick@kineticlaw.com

Lance Thomson

From: j p <drjeffery@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 1:52 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Reconsidering Barb Ban

ODFW Commisioners,

I wanted to take a moment and express my concerns regarding this year's barbless regulations changes. I am an Oregon recreational fisherman, one with a family that enjoys our time spent outdoors, primarily fishing the areas affected by this change. While fishing is never a guaranteed way of bringing home Salmon, barbless hooks have created even more of a challenge. I did not feel that a barbless requirement would affect my fishing as it has, loosing scores of fish near the boat. While my skill may be average, even the most talented of anglers are loosing countless numbers of hatchery fish as a result. It doesn't seem that many recreational fisherman are too excited about this change, and when looking at the studies regarding fish mortality related to barbed vs barbless hooks, it confuses me even more! Much of my reading points toward more fish hooked and lost equalling a higher mortality rate overall. Why not repeal the barbless ban and bring back the "keep the 1st one you catch" rule?

Thank you,

Jeffery Palma

Lance Thomson

From: Dave Winters <davewinters64@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 2:58 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless hooks....

Completely stupid law... Gonna take a toll on revenue for you if this continues. Don't lose this golden goose. Repeal the stupidity....

Thank you!
Dave Winters
West Linn, Or

Lance Thomson

From: Ed Goebel <ed@finleybioenergy.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 3:03 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: barbless hooks

To Whom it may concern:

The Commission will consider removing the recently enacted barbless hook rule for the Columbia and tributaries for salmon/steelhead fishermen at the upcoming meeting. As you probably know, this rule was enacted without much debate of the merits, and appears to be nothing more than a concession to commercial fishermen enraged over the newly enacted rules governing their businesses. Staff recommendation is to retain the rule.

How the staff arrived at that recommendation is hard to fathom. It's been shown time and again that use of barbed hooks has little impact on the mortality of released fish. For example, a few years ago the Commission abandoned the barbless hook rule on the Deschutes River, a rule that had been in place for decades. The reasoning behind this change? Barbless hooks were shown to have no appreciable effect on fish mortality.

As you're probably aware, sport fishermen for the most part don't favor the use of barbless hooks for the Columbia/Willamette fisheries. You'd be wise to listen carefully to your constituents on this issue; they're fully aware that the rule makes no sense from a fish conservation standpoint, and the Commission should be open minded enough to acknowledge the mistake and rescind the rule.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Ed Goebel

ThreeMile BioEnergy LLC

Ed Goebel

*65600 Taggares Lane
Boardman, Oregon 97818*

Phone: (541) 481-2882

Cell: (541) 667-7725

Email: ed@finleybioenergy.com

Lance Thomson

From: STSTEDTR@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 4:09 PM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: barb-less hooks

keep the barbless hook rule in effect

thank you

steve detraci

Lance Thomson

From: John Seabourne
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Barbed hook ban

From: ODFW Info [<mailto:odfw.info@state.or.us>]
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 9:16 AM
To: john.d.seabourne@state.or.us
Subject: FW: Barbed hook ban

From: adam good [mailto:adam_good@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 5:47 PM
To: odfw.info@state.or.us
Subject: Barbed hook ban

I am disappointed with WDFW's and ODFW's decision to ban the use of barbed hooks on the Columbia River for salmon and steelhead fishing. We have seen no compelling evidence that barbless hooks reduce mortality in salmon and steelhead. The initiation of the barbed hook ban has not increased the salmon allotment for sport fishing. This suggests that the ban is not believed to noticeably increase numbers of spawning salmon relative to usage of barbed hooks. Please allow sportfishermen to have some choice in their tackle selection and decrease their difficulty in harvesting legal fish by repealing this unproven and frustrating ban on barbed hooks for this fishery in the Columbia.

Thank you for your time,
Adam Good

Lance Thomson

From: Stockman, Michael <mike.stockman@boringfire.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 7:13 PM
To: 'odfw.commission@state.or.us'
Subject: Barbless hooks

Please consider this e-mail as a vote to reconsider the recently implemented rule change concerning hooks on the Columbia and its tributaries. This was a poorly rationalized decision then and has only gotten worse as more & more sportsmen are made aware of the lack of science supporting this decision and the current science which shows no real support that single barbed hooks increase mortality of Salmon. Do the right thing, admit a poor decision and reverse this rule! Michael Stockman

Warning: All e-mail sent to this address will be received by the corporate e-mail system, and is subject to archival and review by someone other than the recipient. This e-mail may contain proprietary information and is intended only for the use of the intended recipient(s). If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient(s), you are notified that you have received this message in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately.

Lance Thomson

From: Roger & Barbara McCann <barbrogm@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 9:09 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us

Please reverse the barbless hook ban It is only there because of gillnetters and that bill is in the courts.

Roger McCann

Lance Thomson

From: Scott Kollings <skollings@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 9:19 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless hooks are not the answer

Dear Sir,

I'm a life long angler and 32 year resident of the State of Oregon. As such, I value the resources we enjoy and support initiatives that result in their continued sustainability. I am, however, in strong opposition to the barbless hook mandate. My disagreement with this legislation is founded on the inconsistent substantiation or proof that barbless hooks promote a reasonably higher survival rate of fish released than barbed hooks. The research I've found to be most conclusive seems to argue that where the fish is hooked (on the lip vs. swallowed) is the primary consideration governing whether the fish survives when released. Of course the handling of the fish is crucial as well.

I strongly urge / respectfully request you vote to rescind the barbless hook legislation.

Sincerely,

Scott Kollings
3651 Wren Street

Lake Oswego, OR. 97034

Lance Thomson

From: Reid6468@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 9:50 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Reverse the ban

Fishing in Oregon for 43 years and I do agree that there are some situations where barbless hooks need to be implemented. However I believe they should not apply to the Willamette and Columbia (like it was imposed this spring).
Reverse the ban!!!

Another comment I would like to see implemented is a two rod endorsement for large areas/waters such as the Willamette (end at the falls) /Columbia /ocean...big river areas. ODFW is always looking to expand their monies and myself and others would be willing to pay a good amount to use a second rod. **PLEASE really look at this issue.**

Roger Reid
49 years old
Oregon lifer

Lance Thomson

From: Travis L. Chasteen <TLCHASTE@up.com>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 3:08 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless rule reconsidered

Hello,

The Commission will consider removing the recently enacted barbless hook rule for the Columbia and tributaries for salmon/steelhead fishermen at the upcoming meeting. As you probably know, this rule was enacted without much debate of the merits, and appears to be nothing more than a concession to commercial fishermen enraged over the newly enacted rules governing their businesses. Staff recommendation is to retain the rule.

How the staff arrived at that recommendation is hard to fathom. It's been shown time and again that use of barbed hooks has little impact on the mortality of released fish. For example, a few years ago the Commission abandoned the barbless hook rule on the Deschutes River, a rule that had been in place for decades. The reasoning behind this change? Barbless hooks were shown to have no appreciable effect on fish mortality.

As you're probably aware, sport fishermen for the most part don't favor the use of barbless hooks for the Columbia/Willamette fisheries. You'd be wise to listen carefully to your constituents on this issue; they're fully aware that the rule makes no sense from a fish conservation standpoint, and the Commission should be open minded enough to acknowledge the mistake and rescind the rule.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Thank you,

Travis Chasteen
**

This email and any attachments may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any use, review, disclosure, copying, distribution or reliance by others, and any forwarding of this email or its contents, without the express permission of the sender is strictly prohibited by law. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately, delete the e-mail and destroy all copies.

**

Lance Thomson

From: prsportland@aol.com
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 7:10 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Reverse barbless hooks on Columbia

I am writing to ask that you reverse the ban on barbed hooks on the Columbia for Salmon and Steelhead. The studies show no higher mortality rate with barbless hooks or the bans on barbed hooks would not have been removed on other rivers. There is also a significant decrease in fish landed when fishing with kids as I often do when using barbless hooks. So I ask that you reconsider the ban on barbed hooks. Thanks.

Lance Thomson

From: blueheron47@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 7:17 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless hooks

count me as another in opposition to the barbless hook rule. I only hooked one springer in the Willamette this spring and it got off due to the barbless hook. For a fish that you may only get a chance at one in five trips, to lose one is a big deal.

Lance Thomson

From: Danny Gibbs <Danny@cepdx.com>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 7:59 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbed Hook Ban

The barbless hook rule makes no sense as long as gill nets are in the Columbia.

Make sport fishing with barbs legal again for salmon and steelhead or totally remove gill nets from the Columbia River including the safe zones.

Danny Gibbs

Lance Thomson

From: Mike Schmader <mikes@cepdx.com>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 8:04 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbed hook ban

Nets or Barbs??? One or the other!!

Mike Schmader
Capitol Electric Co.
11401 NE Marx St.
Portland, OR 97220
503-255-9488 Office
503-262-0424 Direct
971-506-3089 Cell
mikes@cepdx.com

Lance Thomson

From: robmdavis@aol.com
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 8:23 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Please reverse the barbless hook rule on Columbia and tributaries.

My name is Robert Davis and I have been fishing the PNW for 17 years. In those years I've seen rules and changes come and go. The barbed hook ban most go , it is absolutely nonsensical. A salmon or steelhead hooked in the gills will die with or without a barb. A lot of people use the justification of barbless hooks being ok by saying "we don't have any problem using them in the ocean". That's fine and dandy in the ocean, where the fish are actively feeding and typically schooled up so tight it doesn't matter if you loose a fish because there is always another waiting the eat your offering. River fish are more spread out and don't feed like ocean fish. I personally lost 4 out of 12 springers this year and had no problem with it, we all loose fish from time to time, but my nephew lost all four he had on. My friends son also went 0 for 3. My father who is a terrible fisherman lost both of his. My point is , in a fishery driven by hatchery fish , Columbia, Willamette, Clackamas and Sandy , why was it so important to take away one of our ONLY advantages???? This rule needs to be overturned.

Thank you, Robert M. Davis

Lance Thomson

From: Chad Wiest <wiestchad@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 8:53 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Input on barbed hook ban for Columbia and Willamette Rivers

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to thank you for taking another look at the new rules you developed for managing one of the most beloved fisheries in our state. Specifically, barbless hooks for sport fishing the Columbia and Willamette Rivers. I must report to you the economic and personal impact your new rule has had on my business and those that fish with me. I am a fishing guide who operates on these systems and has seen the impacts first hand. My ratio of fish hooked vs. landed this year was drastically changed. The result of which meant many unhappy anglers. With decreased harvest comes decreased sales for both licenses and guided fishing trips. I make every effort to sell the experience of fishing and not the fish, but in reality people really want to take home our wonderful spring Chinook. Salmon fishing can be difficult, some days leave very few opportunities to hook fish and now the odds of landing that fish are reduced.

I often take anglers out who are new to the sport, including young children. They are the ones that suffer most from this rule. Having little or no experience fighting fish means mistakes are made and barbless hooks leave no room for error. The end result is utter disappointment on faces. How does this foster interest in continued fishing?

In a time when ODFW is being sued by the Native Fish Society for wild/hatchery interactions, this rule was adopted and enhanced this interaction. It is my feeling that the harm the barbed hooks do is far outweighed by the negative impacts of barbless hooks. For my business and for young and aspiring salmon fishers of our great state, please remove the barbed hook ban from the Columbia and Lower Willamette River.

Chad Wiest
On the Line Guide Service

Lance Thomson

From: Dennis Larsen <Dennis_Larsen@beaverton.k12.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 10:34 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless hooks.

PLEASE reconsider the rule that requires us to fish barbless for Salmon & Steelhead on the Columbia. Not only does it make it easier for kids & my mom to catch fish, I don't believe it has an impact on the release mortality. Having fished the big river for 40 years, I think that there are MUCH better ways to help our runs.

Thanks for considering a reverse on teh barb ban.

Dennis Larsen

Lance Thomson

From: fishpent@comcast.net
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 3:27 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: barbless hooks?

No proof or scientific proof that barbs are any more damaging than barbless.

Fred Pentt

Lance Thomson

From: matthew weaver <mattzw1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 4:07 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless Hook rule

I would very much like for this decision to be reversed. It puts a huge damper on the sportfishing industry with no real proven benefits. I hope you guys will do the right thing and get rid of this new regulation.

Matt Weaver

Lance Thomson

From: Bill Wilson <ktmbillw@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 7:30 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless hooks

The Commission will consider removing the recently enacted barbless hook rule for the Columbia and tributaries for salmon/steelhead fishermen at the upcoming meeting. As you probably know, this rule was enacted without much debate of the merits, and appears to be nothing more than a concession to commercial fishermen enraged over the newly enacted rules governing their businesses. Staff recommendation is to retain the rule.

How the staff arrived at that recommendation is hard to fathom. It's been shown time and again that use of barbed hooks has little impact on the mortality of released fish. For example, a few years ago the Commission abandoned the barbless hook rule on the Deschutes River, a rule that had been in place for decades. The reasoning behind this change? Barbless hooks were shown to have no appreciable effect on fish mortality.

As you're probably aware, sport fishermen for the most part don't favor the use of barbless hooks for the Columbia/Willamette fisheries. You'd be wise to listen carefully to your constituents on this issue; they're fully aware that the rule makes no sense from a fish conservation standpoint, and the Commission should be open minded enough to acknowledge the mistake and rescind the rule.

*Thanks in advance for your consideration.
Bill Wilson*

Lance Thomson

From: mark b <mbmke@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 9:43 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless Hook Review

Good Afternoon

I'm writing this email in support of the barbless hook ban under review. This is a bad policy that needs to be reversed. Please vote to repeal this rule while pressuring the State of Washington to follow suit.

Sincerely
Mark Bramhall

Lance Thomson

From: Jordanabbott <kingoftherogue.com@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 01, 2013 7:09 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barb ban....it's a joke

Banning barbed hooks is not the answer!

Sent from my iPad

Lance Thomson

From: crhoades82@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, June 01, 2013 9:45 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Reverse the barbless rule

Please reverse the barbless rule.. its not the sport fisherman harming wild fish. Its the gill nets and the sea loins doing the damage... please consider it. Thanks Chris Rhoades.

Teri Kucera

From: Nello Picinich [nellopicinich@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 11:46 AM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I'm writing to urge you to continue your commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's compromise plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River. Last December you took the important and historic step of adopting a plan that will better protect endangered salmon while also allowing the Lower Columbia commercial fishing industry to continue to thrive.

Unfortunately, the Gillnet industry continues to oppose any and all reforms to their practices, including reforms that will result in additional commercial harvest. They are challenging the rules in court and are blocking legislation that would simply provide alternatives to gillnets. The Commission received thousands of comments from concerned citizens like me last fall in support of Governor Kitzhaber's plan, which was a compromise proposal to the ballot measure that would have immediately banned all commercial Gillnetting on the lower Columbia.

Last fall the Commission recognized that the continued status quo use of Gillnets was unacceptable. It should reaffirm the new Columbia River fishery reform rules at its June 6 meeting and continue moving forward with their implementation.

Sincerely,

Nello Picinich
12614 NE 105th Ct
Vancouver, WA 98662

Note: An identical copy of this letter was received, via email, from the persons listed on the following three (3) pages.

From	Subject	S...	Received	Message	C.
Date: Yesterday					
Nello Picinich	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 1...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Jeff Pokorny	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Terence McBain, Mr	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Richard Ray	Please Reaffirm Columbia River g...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Eric Larson	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Stephen Cushing	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
GRACE NEFF	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Dolores St Marie	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
April Theod	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Victoria Countryman	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
richard ellison	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Teri Gelber	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
George Parker, Jr	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Angie Mason	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
dorinda kelley	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Lenny Perkins	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Annie Beedy	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Mr. & Mrs. Peter von Hippel	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Kathy Johnson	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Adam Holt	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Tiffany Alfrey	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Russ Kirkpatrick	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Erika Tucker	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Ron Delp	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
janet ievins	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Gary Geis	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
jessica bannester, ms	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Karen Elkins	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Ed Korver	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Jack Keyes	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 3:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Glen Love	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
ken kuzma	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
ALIN DINCA	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
David Jacob	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
mary burrell	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
John Rabey	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Sue Anderson	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Joseph Rugloski	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Nita Arntzen	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Courtney McGuire	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Judy Gwaltney	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Diane Randgaard	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Craig Marburger	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	3 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Veroune Chittim	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Phil Lyman	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Rick Lambert	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Michelle Sheldon	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Michael McGuire	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Dale Gocken, Mr	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Eric Dufort	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...

From	Subject	S...	Received	Message	C...
chris hiatt	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 4:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Janice Karpenick	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
robert skierkiewicz	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Dany Armstrong	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Leona Westdahl	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
K. Rasmussen	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
susan carey	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Joseph A Adrian	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Richard Woo	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Judy Heumann	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Nicole Lawless	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
John Bartels	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Randy Harrison	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Bill Boffing, Jr.	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Dean Sigler	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Noel Nevins	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Alan Harrison	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Loree McKenna	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Charles Aylworth	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 5:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Rosalind Kvet	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Mitch Williams	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Eileen Chieco	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
James VanderZanden	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
GERALD J WASSERBURG	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
James Harrop	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Leslie Brooks, I.	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Melissa Hillen	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 6:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Rebecca Kimsey	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Kate Busby	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
arden erlichman	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Barbara Rizzo	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Jessica Jones	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Winston Moore	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
HAROLD JONES	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Jim Deatherage	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Walter Christensen	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 7:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
tasha carpenter	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 8:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Steven Christian	Please reaffirm Columbia River gil...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 8:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
William O'Brien	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 8:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Susan Hartford	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 8:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Leland Block	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 8:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Bob Welsh	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 8:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Ted Light	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Eleanor Wynn, PhD	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Kristen Sattelberg	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Robin Barker	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Bonden Lyons	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
jill Greee	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Hooker Hailstone	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...
Mr. & Mrs. Dennis Mathison	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 9:...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I'm writi...

Date: Today

From	Subject	S...	Received	Message	C...
Basey Klopp	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Danette Bialous	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Cheryl Lohrmann-Riggs	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Thomas Brown	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
teresa floyd	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Evan Centanni	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Carol Gregory	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Harry Bresnahan	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Sharon Burge	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Mary Walker	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
George Richardson	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Margaret Abbe	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Anne Fuller	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Stephen Canning	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Scott MacLowry	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Barbara Council	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
James Feeney	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Bonnie Ramirez, Kotz	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
john anderson	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Dale Madden	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Kaytlin Crawford	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	
Nancy Richardson	Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet r...	4 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I'm writi...	

Teri Kucera

From: Grace Lee-Park [gleepark@mindspring.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 2:54 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Columbia River reforms

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am writing to express my continued support for Governor Kitzhaber's compromise proposal to reform the use of gillnets for non-tribal commercial fishing on the Columbia River.

Gillnets are an inherently non-selective fishing practice. Mesh sizes small enough to capture hatchery salmon are also small enough to capture salmon from endangered wild runs. As you're no doubt aware, the Columbia River is home to dozens of different runs of wild salmon and steelhead, including 13 runs listed as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act.

The indiscriminate nature of gillnets means other native fish, including the Columbia River's declining population of white sturgeon, are needlessly captured, injured and often killed. In addition, gillnets can entangle diving birds, otters, beavers and other wildlife, causing them to drown after prolonged suffering. Gillnets can also become abandoned by their users after being snagged or lost in the river. The plastic filament which nets are made of can take decades to decompose, meaning these "ghost nets" indiscriminately capture and kill Columbia River fish and wildlife for years after they are lost.

Governor Kitzhaber's compromise proposal is a step forward in resolving decades of conflict over gillnets on the Columbia River. It offers Oregon the chance to advance the protection and restoration of wild salmon and steelhead runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

I urge you to continue implementing the reform package you adopted last December to make lower Columbia River more sustainable

Sincerely,

Grace Lee-Park
PO Box 10251
Portland, OR 97296

Note: An identical copy of this letter was received, via email, from the persons listed on the following three (3) pages.

From	Subject	S...	Received	Message	C...
Date: Yesterday					
Grace Lee-Park	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Stuart R. Shaw	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Ellen Saunders	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Craig Markham	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Tom Roof	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Ann Hollyfield	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, ...	
Douglas Hunt	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Diane Huddleston	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Nicole Aue	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Melanie Feder	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Debra Rehn	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Cassandra Browning	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
John Goeckermann	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, D...	
Ben Fritchie	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
William Van Buskirk	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Judith Nowicki	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Cristina Burghdoff	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Deby Tracy	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Brock Roberts	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Kevin Jones	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Jim Dundee	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Tara Holman	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Debora Cox	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Wayne Brault	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Kim Dereszynski	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Ostrom Elwood	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Marian Drake	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Kathy Krisinski	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Susan Stigers	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Kathleen Bissell	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Katherine Stewart	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Samantha Jasmer	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Jane-Ann Phillips	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Mary Smith	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Sharon Nolin	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Bonnie Merchant	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Ken Tracy	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Jennifer Chadwick	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Linda Narup	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Ron Hahn	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Erika Brandon	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Chris Streight	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
ALIN DINCA	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Patricia Long	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Daniel Jones	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Karen Brazeau	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Janine Shore	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Paul Ievins	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Lea Patten	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	
Ed Davie	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4 ...	Tue 6/4/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, I ...	

From	Subject	S.	Received	Message	C. Y
Gerald Moss	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Tina Zeeberg	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Troy Cummins	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Randall Nerwick	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Laura Runnion	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Kathryn Sonenshine	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Allan Peterson	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Lotte Schmitz	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Mr. & Mrs. Steve Volz	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Edye Allen	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
janna piper	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Jared Shelton	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
James Sharp	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Marilyn Riedman	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Janiece Staton	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
John Flinn, Mr.	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
pat evans	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Tim Carrier	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Charlotte Stahl	Please Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromi...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Tom Pollack, C	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Tina Yandell	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Deborah Winer	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Robin Weage	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
John czekala	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Charlie Graham	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Cheri Riznyk	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Josef Reiter	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Gary Mueller	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Paula Taccogna	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Gaabriel Becket	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Luisa Appleman	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
anna morozova	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Robin Katz	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Anne Bodin, Ms.	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
John Brownlee	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Scott Perkins	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
tony hayden	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Joan Ottinger	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	4	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Elizabeth Cameorn	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Luanne Alomair	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Linda Ulvaeus	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Helen Anderson	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
nancy shinn	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Shirley White	Continued Support for Governor Kitzhaber's co...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Jennie Tanzi	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Tue 6/4/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Date: Today					
HM Millard	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Wed 6/5/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Mark Friedman	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Wed 6/5/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
George Vincent	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Wed 6/5/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Suzanne Sherman	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Wed 6/5/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Molly Ellis	Support Governor Kitzhaber's compromise Colu...	5	Wed 6/5/2013	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...

From	Subject	S...	Received	Message	C...
Beverly Goodrich	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Sandra Brooks	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Natasha Bozsoki	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Cathleen Mullins	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
dell goldsmith	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Steve Oder	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Steve Gibbons	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Autumn Fisher	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Ch Bradley	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Travis Herb	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Michelle Hofmann	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Kelli Lord	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Phillip Callaway	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Al Wilson	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Dana Bleckinger	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Tom Fischer	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Robert Gerding	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Felicia Sanders	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Lee Plummer	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
derek andersen	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
john waker	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Judy Beam	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Janus Wilhelm	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Vern Groves	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...
Daniel Hanners	Support Governor Kitzhaberâ€™s compromise Colu...	5 ...	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	I ...

Teri Kucera

From: Allen Stutheit [27olds@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 2:57 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As an Oregonian who cares about our fish and wildlife I am writing to urge your continued commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River.

Gillnets are indiscriminate in the damage they do to the Salmon, Steelhead and Sturgeon that call the Columbia River home. Gillnets are being banned and facing sever restrictions across the world precisely because of their non-selective and destructive nature. Fortunately, there are other selective, fish-friendly fishing methods available to continue providing Columbia River salmon to consumers.

Thankfully, you took action late last year to begin a shift away from the continued use of gillnets. This plan provides three years for commercial gillnetting to transition off the main-stem of the Columbia River to side channels and bays where they don't capture and kill endangered fish and wildlife. It also envisions the use of selective fishing gears in the mainstem of the river. This comprehensive plan offers Oregon the chance to better protect our wild salmon and steelhead runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife, and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

I urge you to continue your commitment to this compromise plan at your June 6 Commission meeting.








Sincerely,

Allen Stutheit
4079 Kildare St
Eugene, OR 97404

Note: An identical copy of this letter was received, via email, from the persons listed on the following three (3) pages.

From	Subject	Size	Received	Message	C.
Date: Yesterday					
Allen Stutheit	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jerry vaughn	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Heath Potter	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Sara W. Baker	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Dakota Boyd	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Marjorie Kokko	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Margaret Quentin	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Steven J. Prince	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Richard Knablin	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Sarah Wiebenson	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
David Sweet	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Hildie Cuddigan	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Karina Hershberg-Mershon	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Heather Barney	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Sharon Gaglia	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Rebecca Hickey	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Dolly Warden	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Sharry Teague	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Gin Wolf	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
daniel dietzman	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Washi...
Julianne Dieringer	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Robert Kimbro	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Margaret Keene	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jackie Henry	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
sally wong	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
David Burkhart	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Janet Kerr	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jerry Weller	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jessica Morrell	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 3...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Sophie Stein	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Mike Allen	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Amy Smith	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Rebecca Baker	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
tom odonnell	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jon Hanson	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Michele DeBacker	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
bill badrick	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Paul Borcharding	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	3 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Michael Herbert	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Blaine Ackley	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Michael Tribble	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Rosalie Sable	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jim Dalton	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
George Barton, M.D.	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Paul Dye	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Bogdan Moyseowicz	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 4...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Bette Steflik	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Nancy Fleming	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Robin Maule	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Clyde Alan Locklear	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...

From	Subject	Size	Received	Message	
Jim Jordan	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Frank Unger	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
maurice sapp	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Skylaar Amann	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Karen Griswold	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
cheryl koehler	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Pat Cooper	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Peter Schwimmer	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Kathryn Jacobson	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Richard Storch	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Washi...
Diane Heath	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Howard Ly	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 5...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Greg Holsinger	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jim Bartley	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Bill Borquist	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As a Washin...
Tim Carrier	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Stephanie Steele	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Sharon Sananda	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Nancy Mattson	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Gerald Berndt	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Steve Malizio	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jennifer Hang	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Joan Andon	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Tom Hoogkamer	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Ernest Stillr	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 6...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jesse Kingdon	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Ron Thompson	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Mary Lyda	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Janette St Pierre	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Donlon McGovern, Ph.D.	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Michael Bobrick	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
James Mickel	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Martha Perez	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Jesse Wright	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Stephen Cutler	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 7...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Stan Krajewski	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Leslie Baran	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Maureen O'Neal	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Teri Schaefer	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Emil Georges	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Ariane Holzhauer	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Gin Wolf	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Henry Bennett	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 8...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Kirsten Massebeau	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Tue 6/4/2013 9...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Date: Today					
james Curtiss, L	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Charlotte Campbell	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Mark Chapel	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
joe staloch	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
carrie mcdowe	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...
Gene Chamberlin	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners,	As an Orego...

From	Subject	Size	Received	Message	C...
 micha smith	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, As an Orego...	
 Adela Garcia	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, As an Orego...	
 David Petrik, A	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, As an Orego...	
 Tiffany Milbrett	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, As an Orego...	
 Patrice Demmon	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, As an Orego...	
 Bonnie Ford	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, As an Orego...	
 Sandra Joos	Maintain Commitment to New Gi...	4 KB	Wed 6/5/2013 ...	Dear ODFW Commissioners, As an Orego...	

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 4:23 PM
To: Debbi Farrell; Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Barbless rules

For commission packet. Teri

From: James Wu [<mailto:jwu@ugcpcnw.com>]
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 4:16 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Barbless rules

Please repeal the new rules on barbless. Barbless does not help fish mortality on native fish. This was a rule pushed by the gill net interests in spite of the ending of gillnetting. If this rule is not repealed we fishermen will band together and remove the present Odfw commissioners with ones with more common sense. It is hard enough to hook a salmon and then to have it come off repeatedly is maddening.

James wu
Oregon fishing license holder
5038608395

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 8:48 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay Ballantine [<mailto:Jay.Ballantine@providence.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 5:16 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

When "Salmon for All" was almost implemented the only thing the sportsman received was the shaft. A lot of our smolts went to the safe areas and nothing was returned to the sportsman. Just take a quick glance on the Columbia river and you might see a few boats. Nothing like it should be. Also please ask those companies and marinas how their receipt books look this year with hardly any sportsman wasting his time trying to fish in these dire circumstances. Killnets go in on Wednesday, wipe the river clean of sturgeon, salmon, steelhead and whatever else that lands in their curtains of death. Then they go in the following week...ect...ect.. These seasons are wiping the few fish some of these tributaries like the Sandy river have. Please follow through with your commitment to all.

I'm writing to urge you to continue your commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's compromise plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River. Last December you took the important and historic step of adopting a plan that will better protect endangered salmon while also allowing the Lower Columbia commercial fishing industry to continue to thrive.

The Commission received thousands of comments from concerned citizens like me last fall in support of Governor Kitzhaber's plan, which was a compromise proposal to the ballot measure that would have immediately banned all commercial Gillnetting on the lower Columbia.

Last fall the Commission recognized that the continued status quo use of Gillnets was unacceptable. It should reaffirm the new Columbia River fishery reform rules at its June 6 meeting and continue moving forward with their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jay Ballantine
15325 SE Stark St
Portland, OR 97233

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 9:19 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Continue to support the New Gillnet Rules

-----Original Message-----

From: Mr. & Mrs. Lee and Marilyn Rengert [<mailto:leerengert@comcast.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 6:47 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Continue to support the New Gillnet Rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As an Oregonian who cares about our fish and wildlife, and wants our natural treasures for coming generations, I am writing to urge your continued commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River.

Gillnets are indiscriminate in the damage they do to the Salmon, Steelhead and Sturgeon that call the Columbia River home. Gillnets are being banned and facing sever restrictions across the world precisely because of their non-selective and destructive nature. Fortunately, there are other selective, fish-friendly fishing methods available to continue providing Columbia River salmon to consumers.

Thankfully, you took action late last year to begin a shift away from the continued use of gillnets. This plan provides three years for commercial gillnetting to transition off the main-stem of the Columbia River to side channels and bays where they don't capture and kill endangered fish and wildlife. It also envisions the use of selective fishing gears in the mainstem of the river. This comprehensive plan offers Oregon the chance to better protect our wild salmon and steelhead runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife, and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

I urge you to continue your commitment to this compromise plan at your June 6 Commission meeting.

Sincerely,

Lee and Marilyn Rengert
3246 Caribou Ct NW
Salem, OR 97304

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 9:51 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Print separately. Teri Kucera

-----Original Message-----

From: James Housley [<mailto:j.housley@live.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 4:31 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I'm writing to urge you to continue your commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's compromise plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River. Last December you took the important and historic step of adopting a plan that will better protect endangered salmon while also allowing the Lower Columbia commercial fishing industry to continue to thrive.

Unfortunately, the Gillnet industry continues to oppose any and all reforms to their practices, including reforms that will result in additional commercial harvest. They are challenging the rules in court and are blocking legislation that would simply provide alternatives to gillnets. The Commission received thousands of comments from concerned citizens like me last fall in support of Governor Kitzhaber's plan, which was a compromise proposal to the ballot measure that would have immediately banned all commercial Gillnetting on the lower Columbia.

Last fall the Commission recognized that the continued status quo use of Gillnets was unacceptable. It should reaffirm the new Columbia River fishery reform rules at its June 6 meeting and continue moving forward with their implementation.

I would also like to add that I have been a resident of Oregon all my life and have seen the destruction that the gillnets have done personally. They absolutely need to be removed from the Columbia river.

Sincerely,

J.D.Housley
68536 Defrates Rd
Rainier, OR 97048

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 9:54 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Print separately. - Teri Kucera

-----Original Message-----

From: James Bornzin [mailto:bornzin@frontier.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 8:30 AM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am a former resident of Coos Bay/North Bend and currently living in Silverton. I have seen Oregon fisheries depleted and am concerned about our future. As an Oregonian who cares about our fish and wildlife I am writing to urge your continued commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River.

Gillnets are indiscriminate in the damage they do to the Salmon, Steelhead and Sturgeon that call the Columbia River home. Gillnets are being banned and facing sever restrictions across the world precisely because of their non-selective and destructive nature. Fortunately, there are other selective, fish-friendly fishing methods available to continue providing Columbia River salmon to consumers.

Thankfully, you took action late last year to begin a shift away from the continued use of gillnets. This plan provides three years for commercial gillnetting to transition off the main-stem of the Columbia River to side channels and bays where they don't capture and kill endangered fish and wildlife. It also envisions the use of selective fishing gears in the mainstem of the river. This comprehensive plan offers Oregon the chance to better protect our wild salmon and steelhead runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife, and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

I urge you to continue your commitment to this compromise plan at your June 6 Commission meeting.

Sincerely,

James Bornzin
301 Edgewood Dr
Silverton, OR 97381

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 9:55 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Please Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Separate email. - Teri Kucera

-----Original Message-----

From: Shirley Smith [<mailto:thiswritersturf@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 8:32 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Please Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As an Oregonian who cares about our fish and wildlife I am writing to urge your continued commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River.

Gillnets are indiscriminate in the damage they do to the Salmon, Steelhead and Sturgeon that call the Columbia River home. Gillnets are being banned and facing sever restrictions across the world precisely because of their non-selective and destructive nature. Fortunately, there are other selective, fish-friendly fishing methods available to continue providing Columbia River salmon to consumers.

Thankfully, you took action late last year to begin a shift away from the continued use of gillnets. This plan provides three years for commercial gillnetting to transition off the main-stem of the Columbia River to side channels and bays where they don't capture and kill endangered fish and wildlife. It also envisions the use of selective fishing gears in the mainstem of the river. This comprehensive plan offers Oregon the chance to better protect our wild salmon and steelhead runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife, and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

I urge you to continue your commitment to this compromise plan at your June 6 Commission meeting.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this urgent matter. Please stop the use of gillnets, forever!

Sincerely,

Shirley Smith
25115 E Broadway Ave Apt 3
Veneta, OR 97487

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:03 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

-----Original Message-----

From: Jackie Stocks [<mailto:jstocks@kgw.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 3:29 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

"The time is always right to do what is right." ~ Martin Luther King Jr.

I'm writing to urge you to continue your commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's compromise plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River. Last December you took the important and historic step of adopting a plan that will better protect endangered salmon while also allowing the Lower Columbia commercial fishing industry to continue to thrive.

Unfortunately, the Gillnet industry continues to oppose any and all reforms to their practices, including reforms that will result in additional commercial harvest. They are challenging the rules in court and are blocking legislation that would simply provide alternatives to gillnets. The Commission received thousands of comments from concerned citizens like me last fall in support of Governor Kitzhaber's plan, which was a compromise proposal to the ballot measure that would have immediately banned all commercial Gillnetting on the lower Columbia.

Last fall the Commission recognized that the continued status quo use of Gillnets was unacceptable. It should reaffirm the new Columbia River fishery reform rules at its June 6 meeting and continue moving forward with their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jackie Stocks
3733 SE 167th Ave
Portland, OR 97236

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:03 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

-----Original Message-----

From: jim wells [mailto:homegrown53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 3:17 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As far as i am concerned, the Governor's plan to reduce gill-net fishing on the Columbia did go far enough, but I applaud the Commission for having recognized that the continued status quo use of gill-nets was unacceptable.

Please hold your ground. The objections, including via the courts, to the change are like the tantrums of children when adults draw and hold boundaries for the good of all, and, ultimately, in the best interests of the children. Time and time again we have heard extractive industry addicts claim that regulations would "kill" their livelihoods, and yet in the vast majority of cases, all those industries are still with us.

Some are doing even better than ever. Proper regulation allowed greater sustainability of the industries and the industries reacted to the regulations as if to a swift kick-in-the-butt to become more efficient and otherwise more valued by society

Those that did disappear either needed to go (such as the Slave Trade) or else disappeared because the regulations had been too little, too late -- the industries had already depleted the re(not)-sources beyond their Tipping Points.

After they have spent their wad tantruming, we will see whether gill-netters are like dinosaurs or homo sapiens -- will they be unable to change and thus go extinct, or will they learn and adapt?

Sincerely,

jim wells
18 Stewart Ave
Medford, OR 97501

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:04 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Hershberg [<mailto:ehershberg@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 4:48 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I 100% support the position articulate in this message. I thought we were done with this. Please don't cave in to the special interests trying to back this out.

Thanks, EH

I'm writing to urge you to continue your commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's compromise plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River. Last December you took the important and historic step of adopting a plan that will better protect endangered salmon while also allowing the Lower Columbia commercial fishing industry to continue to thrive.

Unfortunately, the Gillnet industry continues to oppose any and all reforms to their practices, including reforms that will result in additional commercial harvest. They are challenging the rules in court and are blocking legislation that would simply provide alternatives to gillnets. The Commission received thousands of comments from concerned citizens like me last fall in support of Governor Kitzhaber's plan, which was a compromise proposal to the ballot measure that would have immediately banned all commercial Gillnetting on the lower Columbia.

Last fall the Commission recognized that the continued status quo use of Gillnets was unacceptable. It should reaffirm the new Columbia River fishery reform rules at its June 6 meeting and continue moving forward with their implementation.

Sincerely,

Edward Hershberg
12025 SW Foothill Dr
Portland, OR 97225

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:04 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

-----Original Message-----

From: P.J. Carter [mailto:PJC125@COMCAST.NET]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 3:29 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I urge you to continue your commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's compromise plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River. Last December you took the IMPORTANT and historic step of adopting a plan that will better protect endangered salmon while also allowing the Lower Columbia commercial fishing industry to continue to thrive.

Unfortunately, the Gillnet industry continues to oppose ANY AND ALL reforms to their practices, including reforms that will result in additional commercial harvest. They are challenging the rules in court and are blocking legislation that would simply provide alternatives to gillnets. The Commission received thousands of comments from concerned citizens like me last fall in support of Governor Kitzhaber's plan, which was a compromise proposal to the ballot measure that would have immediately banned all commercial Gillnetting on the lower Columbia.

Last fall the Commission recognized that the continued status quo use of Gillnets was unacceptable. It should reaffirm the new Columbia River fishery reform rules at its June 6 meeting and continue moving forward with their implementation.

Sincerely,

P.J. Carter
5355 SW Willow Ave
Corvallis, OR 97333

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:04 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Please Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Averill [<mailto:eda@acm.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 2:30 AM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Please Reaffirm Columbia River gillnet reform rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Last fall the Commission recognized that the continued status quo use of Gillnets was unacceptable. It should reaffirm the new Columbia River fishery reform rules at its June 6 meeting and continue moving forward with their implementation.

Last December you took the important and historic step of adopting a plan that will better protect endangered salmon while also allowing the Lower Columbia commercial fishing industry to continue to thrive.

Unfortunately, I understand the Gillnet industry doesn't understand the value of conserving their resource. It continues to oppose reforms to their practices that will result in additional commercial harvest. They are challenging the rules in court and are blocking legislation that would simply provide alternatives to gillnets. The Commission received thousands of comments from concerned citizens like me last fall in support of Governor Kitzhaber's plan, which was a good compromise proposal to the ballot measure that would have immediately banned all commercial Gillnetting on the lower Columbia.

I urge you to continue your commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's compromise plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River, so that our fishery will have a chance to stay for the future.

Sincerely,

Ed Averill
8815 SW Oxbow Ter
Beaverton, OR 97008

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:06 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Print separately. Teri Kucera

-----Original Message-----

From: Jade Stewart [<mailto:jade.stwrt@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 7:57 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Hello, I doubt that anyone will read this, as it comes in a formatted letter form, but nonetheless I urge you to consider what a valuable component salmon is in Oregon, and to inspect how Alaska has managed this amazing natural resource. There are many environmental, and monetary, reasons I stand behind proper management, and I hope that there is understanding and agreement that ANY unsustainable fishing practices damage the ecology of our entire planet.

As an Oregonian who cares about our fish and wildlife I am writing to urge your continued commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River.

Gillnets are indiscriminate in the damage they do to the Salmon, Steelhead and Sturgeon that call the Columbia River home. Gillnets are being banned and facing sever restrictions across the world precisely because of their non-selective and destructive nature. Fortunately, there are other selective, fish-friendly fishing methods available to continue providing Columbia River salmon to consumers.

Thankfully, you took action late last year to begin a shift away from the continued use of gillnets. This plan provides three years for commercial gillnetting to transition off the main-stem of the Columbia River to side channels and bays where they don't capture and kill endangered fish and wildlife. It also envisions the use of selective fishing gears in the mainstem of the river. This comprehensive plan offers Oregon the chance to better protect our wild salmon and steelhead runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife, and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

I urge you to continue your commitment to this compromise plan at your June 6 Commission meeting.

Sincerely,

Jade Stewart
783 NW Naito Pkwy
Portland, OR 97209

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

-----Original Message-----

From: Dietmar Hell [<mailto:williewillie0@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 3:51 PM
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Maintain Commitment to New Gillnet Rules

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am writing to urge your continued commitment to Governor Kitzhaber's plan to phase out Gillnets from the main stem of the Columbia River.

Gillnets are indiscriminate in the damage they do to the Salmon, Steelhead and Sturgeon that call the Columbia River home. Gillnets are being banned and facing sever restrictions across the world precisely because of their non-selective and destructive nature. Fortunately, there are other selective, fish-friendly fishing methods available to continue providing Columbia River salmon to consumers.

Thankfully, you took action late last year to begin a shift away from the continued use of gillnets. This plan provides three years for commercial gillnetting to transition off the main-stem of the Columbia River to side channels and bays where they don't capture and kill endangered fish and wildlife. It also envisions the use of selective fishing gears in the mainstem of the river. This comprehensive plan offers Oregon the chance to better protect our wild salmon and steelhead runs, conserve other native fish and wildlife, and maintain a sustainable commercial fishing industry on the Columbia River.

I urge you to continue your commitment to this compromise plan at your June 6 Commission meeting.

Sincerely,

Dietmar Hell
38115 Fawn Rd NE
Hansville, WA 98340

Lance Thomson

From: ODFW Commission
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 2:36 PM
To: Lance Thomson
Subject: FW: Commission June 6 meeting, testimony
Attachments: Analysis of Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement for June ODFW Commission hearing.doc; increased Hatchery Production.doc

For June 6 Commission meeting...

*Colleen R. Munson
Executive Support Specialist 2
Office of the Director
Oregon Dept of Fish & Wildlife
3406 Cherry Ave NE
Salem, OR 97303
503-947-6035
Fax: 503-947-6042
Colleen.R.Munson@state.or.us*

From: Irene Martin [<mailto:imartin@iinet.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 10:44 AM
To: ODFW Commission
Subject: Commission June 6 meeting, testimony

Attached please find two documents pertaining to Exhibit C, Columbia River Fisheries Management, 2013 and Beyond, scheduled for hearing at the June 6, 2013 Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission Meeting. They include an Analysis of the Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement, and Increased Hatchery Production scheduled for the Columbia River. Both of these documents should be included as part of the public comment record for this agenda item. We may be reached at 360-795-3920; imartin@iinet.com if there are questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Kent and Irene Martin

**Analysis of Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement for June 6, 2013 ODFW
Commission hearing. By Kent and Irene Martin, P.O. Box 83, Skamokawa, WA
98647. 360-795-3920. imartin@iinet.com**

Statement of Need.

Three initiatives in the past fifty years indicate that the public does believe that removal of gillnets from the mainstem Columbia River is needed. These are summarized below:

- **1964:** Prohibit commercial fishing for salmon/steelhead. No: 70.68%; Yes: 29.32%
- **1992:** Restrict lower Columbia fish harvests to most selective means available. No: 59%; Yes: 41%
- **2012:** Ban commercial fishing with gillnets on C.R.: No: 66%; Yes: 34

When the public has spoken on their interest as consumers in maintaining their source of supply of Columbia River salmonids, why is the agency reducing that supply and turning it over to a small fraction of the public that sport fishes? The Agency needs to clarify what pressing problem has arisen to cause it to overturn the will of the public as expressed by the voters on three separate occasions.

Critique of Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement Document

p. 1. The State of Oregon has posted a Power Point presentation on its website regarding selectivity of gillnet gear, but uses the term “non-selective” gillnets on the 1st page of its Impact Statement, thus contradicting its own science. Further, there is no definition in either Washington or Oregon state law about what a “non-selective gillnet” is.

p. 2 The valuation of the commercial fishery is based on taking fish prices from past years and applying them to potential future increased production in the off-channel and/or alternative gear fisheries. There is a serious omission in the Fiscal Impact Statement methodology that must be addressed:

- **Production.** Future planned increased production of spring, summer and URB fall Chinook and blueback are not included. Under current management, the commercial fishery would be able to access a portion of each of these high-value runs in the mainstem, particularly as recovery/enhancement occurs. Under the Gov. Kitzhaber management approach, removal of the commercial fishery from the mainstem means that in the future they will not be able to access this mitigation production. This loss needs to be accounted for in any calculation of mitigation for moving the commercial fishery off the mainstem. For example, while Oregon plans to offset reductions in mainstem commercial harvest of summer Chinook by rearing an additional half million Select Area Bright (SAB) fall Chinook, the mainstem production of summer Chinook is expected to double with the Chief Joseph Hatchery. No calculations about this loss to the commercial fishery, nor plans to mitigate it with more SAB fall Chinook are evident in staff recommendations. No state dollars are invested in this planned new mainstem production, which is funded entirely by federal tax dollars and/or

utility moneys under FERC relicensing agreements. A one page summary of the proposed mitigation production is attached.

pp. 2-4. **Economic methodology.** The economic methodology used for the commercial fishery is basically a revenues argument. It does not deal with commercial fishery economic contributions as the product moves through processing and wholesale sectors to the final restaurant and retail consumer. By contrast, the recreational fishery methodology uses an "economic impact" approach. Thus, the comparison between the commercial and recreational fisheries is of an "apples to oranges" nature, and invalid. The economic methodologies and conclusions are based on faulty assumptions and incomplete information. For example, on p. 3 of the Impact Statement, the source of local personal income impacts is the Pacific Fishery Management Council's (PFMC) 2011 Review of Ocean Salmon Fisheries Report. The Economic Statement author notes on p. 2 that impacts of commercial fisheries for white sturgeon, shad, herring and crayfish were not included and dismisses them as they "would not be expected to substantively affect the results of the analysis." Eulachon, or smelt, were not mentioned. The author of the Fiscal Impact Statement appears unaware that these species do not appear in the PFMC's Report because they are not species managed by the PFMC. In this instance, the Department's own data should have been used and the value of these fish included, as they are part of the gillnet catch. As a comparative example, The Research Group (2006) study showed that sturgeon ex-vessel value for 2004 totaled \$554,000, with salmon catches for the same time-span equaling \$5,084,000 ex-vessel (The Research Group 2006: IV-7, Table IV-4). The sturgeon catch value was over 10% of that of the salmon catch value, which is not negligible.

Further, the author(s) of the Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement fail to note the omission of summer Chinook and blueback (sockeye) in these numbers. Again, for the years included, summer Chinook and blueback were not Council-managed species, but they do form part of the gillnet catch. In essence, the author of the Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement has omitted seven species relevant to the gillnet fishery. The disclaimer that they were not expected to affect the results is not substantiated. Summer Chinook in particular need attention, in that the current iteration of the proposal as of this writing indicates that they will probably become solely a recreational fishery, with no commercial access in the mainstem. In essence, rather than recreational priority, the result will be recreational exclusivity. Moreover, this is a fish that is currently classed as a "food fish" in the laws of both states. Analysis of the loss to the commercial fishery and to the consumer in perpetuity should be addressed, and substantial mitigation proposals and funding needs addressed for a permanent replacement option. To date, accurately quantifying the loss and finding a solution to mitigate for that loss has not occurred.

p. 3, No. 4, 1st paragraph, states that it is unlikely that there would be significant changes in numbers of gillnet permits or other licenses purchased, and no significant changes in revenues to the state. The second paragraph states that it is possible that commercial fishing permits may be issued for each type of gear allowed. The expense to the commercial fisher of purchasing permits in addition to the gillnet permits is not

addressed, nor is the potential for additional revenue to the state. These two paragraphs are somewhat contradictory and need clarification.

p. 3 C. The document states that “The public could be affected by adoption of these rules,” but does not say how. Issues such as fewer fish being available to the consumer, or a reduction in species available are not mentioned. In 2012 the public made it clear in Ballot Measure 81 that they favored retaining the commercial gillnet fishery on the Columbia. At no time in any of the documents produced by the State have they acknowledged this larger input of the fish-consuming public. As previously noted, the public has supported the fishery in former initiatives.

- 1964: Prohibit commercial fishing for salmon/steelhead. No: 70.68%; Yes: 29.32%
- 1992: Restrict lower Columbia fish harvests to most selective means available: No: 59%; Yes: 41%
- 2012: Ban commercial fishing with gillnets on C.R.: No: 66%; Yes: 34%

pp. 4-5. The documentation regarding the need for the recreational fishery to have more fish is weak to non-existent. The 2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting and Wildlife-Associated Recreation: State Overview, is used as the main source of angler numbers. However, the website of the Sporting Goods Manufacturers Association publishes the Sports Participation Topline Report and puts those numbers in context. Their 2011 report shows an 11.1% decline in participation in freshwater fishing, and a 19.9% decline in saltwater sportfishing since 2000 (p. 26). Similarly, the Outdoor Foundation's website posts an Outdoor Recreation Participation Topline Report for 2011. By contrast, the Oregon total population grew from 3,421,399 in 2000 to 3,871,859 in 2011 (U.S. Census). Of particular interest is youth trends (ages 6-24), where freshwater angling is down 15.6% over 3 years and salt water angling is down 27.6% (over 3 years) nationally for this same demographic. The Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife's External Budget Advisory Committee follow-up report from the April 14, 2012 meeting acknowledges that Oregon is no exception to this decline. On p. 1, the report notes that resident fishing license sales declined 13% during the period 1975-2011, and the decline in youth participation was also noted. These documents show that the Pacific Region (Alaska, Hawaii, Washington, Oregon and California) had the lowest participation in sport fishing of any region in the country, at 9% of the population. The outdoor recreational experiences that have increasing participation could be classified as non-consumptive uses, e.g. kayaking. With low and declining recreational fishery participation numbers, both nationally and regionally, it is difficult to see how the Impact Statement's expectation of increased angler trips in the future can be justified. The youth demographics in particular challenge the notion that the longer term increases in sport fishing trips will occur and do not justify a public policy decision that provides more fish to the recreational fishery, especially when commercial salmon markets are firm and consumer demand is growing, along with the population. The documents presented in the Impact Statement and its background sources do not make a case for the drastic reallocation proposed and give no cause for optimism about the future of recreational fishing on the west coast or nationwide.

p. 4. Expenditures for new gear are not estimated, with the claim that because it is not known what gear will be used, it is not possible to estimate the level of new expenditures. In fact, testimony has been provided during the past year about costs relating to purse seine and beach seine operations, and could have been provided in the fiscal impact statement to put the revenue side of the ledger in context. Revenues from sales of experimental gear caught fish were calculated. Expenses were not, but could have been easily determined from testimony provided at several different hearings, and inferred from the state bid sheets from participants in the seine experiments. The state has these numbers, but has chosen not to use them, which skews the fiscal benefits to show only somewhat enhanced revenues, but not the costs involved.

Further, the effects on small business of the proposition that a transition is going to begin to alternative gear, but it is not yet known what alternative gear (if any) is going to be authorized, nor by whom, whether the Legislature, the Commission, or the Department, needs to be addressed. Current gillnet permit holders, who are small businesses, are faced with a situation in which their current mainstem operations will be terminated in 2017, but they have no way to address this transition, making their current businesses unsaleable. Who will buy a business that will see its revenues possibly reduced and its costs go up, and its operations entirely change, but with no clear path to what that change might be? The state, by this process, has devalued their businesses. Further, Bonneville Power Administration has publicly announced its intention to cease to fund the Select Areas after 2016, which thus provides no alternative to the loss of the mainstem fishery.

p. 5 The "additional personal income" predicted to come to Clatsop and Columbia Counties via the proposed plan is a stretch. At most, according to p. 1 of the document, two additional OSP officers are planned for the area over the next several years, one for the transition period, and one more for long-term, to be reassessed in the future. Staff assigned to the area for limited terms are supposedly another source of economic benefits. No quantification of benefits is provided, but the addition of two people, plus possibly their families, along with a small transient population, is statistically insignificant.

p. 7 Table 3 needs to be redone as follows, as it is inaccurate.

- Under the Gillnet/Tangle net column, the cost of a net is listed as \$4,000. That is one or two nets. Most fishers have several, and even under the Kitzhaber Plan, they would need two or three. Estimate should be at least \$6,000.
- Under Annual Costs, insurance should be included, at \$1100.
- Under Crew, many fishers have a deckhand, particularly those who are value-adding (i.e. bleeding and dressing) their fish. Suggest \$250 in this column.
- Under the purse-seine insurance column, the number indicated is \$2000. A phone call to a marine underwriter, however, turned up a larger number. For a 3 month policy, \$100,000 hull and machinery coverage on the seiner, \$20,000 coverage on the skiff, 1 million dollars liability for a three member crew, and 1 million dollars pollution insurance, the quotes ranged from a minimum of \$8,000 up to \$10,000.

p. 7 The document states that “Representatives of several affected small business types participated as direct advisors...” Unfortunately, the advice of the commercial advisors was, for the most part, ignored when developing this rule. The advisors were presented with the “Kitzhaber Plan” and basically told to make it work. Testimony by the commercial advisors at the end of the Work Group meeting at Seaside, Oregon, Nov. 15, 2012 made it very clear that they unanimously found the results to be unacceptable. The Fiscal Impact Statement implies that the advisors supported the Plan. This is not the case with the commercial advisors, and needs to be so stated.

pp. 7-8 The list of references is incomplete. Attached is a list of references previously provided to both the Oregon and Washington Commissions in separate meetings in December, 2012, which should have informed the creation and revision of this Fiscal Impact Statement. Until the issues brought up are addressed by consulting the documents and public testimony pertaining to the various subjects, the Fiscal Impact Statement will remain inaccurate, incomplete, and its methodology will result in inaccurate, false and misleading statements. The documents provided in the bibliography represent the “best available science,” and are, in numerous cases, documents developed for or by Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife and/or Washington Dept. of Fish and Wildlife. It is inexplicable that either agency would ignore its own science in developing policy or rules. Of particular note as an omission is the series of annual reports pertaining to the SAFE areas produced by ODFW, which are not cited or apparently utilized in the Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement. Individual reports are not listed in this bibliography, but the Department must surely be aware of its own extensive documentation of its long-term SAFE area projects and has ignored that documentation.

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

Allocation

Hanna, Dr. Susan, Gilbert Sylvia, Michael Harte, Gail Achterman. Review of Economic Literature and Recommendations for Improving Economic Data and Analysis for Managing Columbia River Spring Chinook (Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University, Institute for Natural Resources, Dec.27, 2005.) Reviews methodologies for evaluating economic issues with regard to allocation of Columbia River spring Chinook.

Smith, Courtland. Oregon Fish Fights (Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University, 1974.) Documents allocation issues in Oregon and Washington from the late 19th century on.

Economic Studies.

Edwards, Steven F. “An Economics Guide to Allocation of Fish Stocks between Commercial and Recreational Fisheries” (Washington, DC: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Nov. 1990.) NOAA Technical Report, NMFS 94. Addresses different methodologies in economic calculation of values of fisheries.

Hanna, Dr. Susan, Gilbert Sylvia, Michael Harte, and Gail Achterman. Review of Economic Literature and Recommendations for Improving Economic Data and Analysis for Managing Columbia River Spring Chinook. Previously cited.

National Marine Fisheries Service. Fisheries Economics of the United States, 2009. (Washington, DC: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, May 2011.) NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-FISPO-118, 2009. Economics and Sociocultural Status and Trends Series. The most complete documentation available of economic trends in fisheries.

Northwest Power and Conservation Council, Joint Independent Scientific Review Panel and Independent Economic Analysis Board. SAFE Review 2007. (Portland, OR: ISRP & IEAB, April 11, 2007.) Project #1993-060-00. A peer review of the Dr. Hans Radtke Select Area Fishery Evaluation Study (2006).

Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife External Budget Advisory Committee. April 14, 2012 Meeting Follow-up Report. Salem, OR: 2012.

Outdoor Foundation. 2011 Outdoor Recreational Participation Topline Report (Boulder, CO: Outdoor Foundation, 2011.)
www.outdoorfoundation.org/pdf/researchparticipation2011Topline.pdf

Radtke, Dr. Hans, Shannon Davis and Christopher Carter. Select Area Fishery Evaluation Project Economic Analysis Study, Final Report, Rev. 4. (Portland, OR: Bonneville Power Administration, Div. of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, and Clatsop County, Nov. 10, 2006). A detailed and comprehensive review of SAFE area and mainstem Columbia River commercial fisheries, with particular attention to economics.

Radtke, Dr. Hans. "Washington State Commercial Fishing Industry Total Economic Contribution." Prepared for Seattle Marine Business Coalition. (Yachats, OR: Hans Radtke, Jan. 2011). This paper analyzes the Wegge study cited below, focusing on several serious flaws in the Wegge document, including omission of economic effects from distant water fisheries.

Radtke, Dr. Hans and Shannon Davis. Oregon Commercial Fishing Industry Economic Contributions in 2011 and Outlook for 2012, Briefing Report. Prepared for Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife and Oregon Coastal Zone Management Association. (Corvallis, OR: The Research Group, Feb. 2012.)

Sporting Goods Manufacturers Association. Sports, Fitness and Recreational Activities Topline Participation Report 2011. Silver Spring, MD: SGMA, 2011. Statistics regarding national trends in recreational activities, including recreational fishing.

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. 2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting and Wildlife-Associated Recreation, State Overview. Preliminary Estimates. USFWS, September, 2012.

Wegge, Thomas. Economic Analysis of the Non-treaty Commercial and Recreational Fisheries in Washington State. Prepared for Washington Dept. of Fish and Wildlife. (Sacramento, CA: TCW Economics, Dec. 2008). This study was subject to considerable criticism, due to omissions and “apples to oranges” comparisons between commercial and recreational fisheries. It received a hearing by the Washington Senate Committee on Energy, Natural Resources and Marine Waters, Feb. 20, 2012.

Mitigation

Martin, Irene. “The Mitchell Act and Cowlitz County,” *Cowlitz Historical Quarterly*, vol. 53, no. 2, June 2011, pp. 20-33. Background regarding the Mitchell Act and mitigation programs it funds.

Selective Harvest.

Lavoie, Larry. Memo to Robert Turner, Feb. 14, 2011.
www.nr.noaa.gov/salmon_recovery_planning/recovery_domains/willamette_lower_columbia/lc/upload/task_F.pdf Outlines the process necessary for determining if an alternative gear, e.g. seines, can be legalized for use on the Columbia River.

Martin, Irene. Columbia River Selective Gear Bibliography and Literature Review (Vancouver, WA: Washington Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, Region Five, June 2008.) A compendium of studies relating to development of selective/alternative gear.

Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife. Powerpoint, Selective Fisheries.
http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/OSCRP/CRM/docs/Selective_Fisheries_JN_071220.pdf
Demonstrates selectivity in recreational and commercial gear, including gillnets.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. *Endangered Species Consultation Handbook*. (Washington, DC: USFWS & NMFS, 1998).
www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/laws_esa_section7_handbook.pdf A complete guide to the process of implementing the Endangered Species Act, including implementing new types of fishing gear.

Social Studies

Hanna, Dr. Susan. “Institutions for Managing Resilient Salmon (*Oncorhynchus SPP*) Ecosystems: The Role of Incentives and Transaction Costs.” In Pathways to Resilience, Sustaining Salmon Ecosystems in the Changing World. Ed. Dan Bottom, Kim Jones, Charles Simenstad et al. (Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Press, 2011), pp. 318-339.

Martin, Irene. A Social Snapshot of the Columbia River Gillnet Fishery (Astoria, OR: Salmon for All, 2005.) Cites social indicators such as poverty, teen alcoholism and food insecurity and their relationship to economic drivers in fishing communities.

Martin, Irene. "Resilience in Lower Columbia River Salmon Communities." *Ecology and Society*, vol. 13, no. 2, 2008, Article 23. www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol13/iss2/art23/

Martin, Irene. "Resilience in Lower Columbia River Salmon Communities." In *Pathways to Resilience, Sustaining Salmon Ecosystems in a Changing World*. Ed. Dan Bottom, Kim Jones, Charles Simenstad et al. (Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University Press, 2011), pp. 176-192. Oregon Sea Grant (ORES-U-B-11-001).

Martin, Irene. "Presentation to the Washington Senate Committee on Energy, Natural Resources and Marine Waters. Invited testimony at the Committee Workshop, Olympia, WA: Feb. 20, 2012. Updates the 2005 Martin study cited previously.

Increased Hatchery Production Scheduled to come on line on the Columbia River.

- Chief Joseph Hatchery, will take its first eggs in 2013, with planned summer Chinook production of approximately 2.65 – 2.9 million smolts.
- Cowlitz Hatchery reprogramming. Increase in spring Chinook production from 900,000 to 1.8 million smolts, per FERC relicensing agreement.
- John Day Mitigation. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will produce ca. 10,000,000 additional upriver bright fall Chinook smolts as federal mitigation.
- Springfield Fish Hatchery, Idaho, online in 2013, sockeye production target is 400,000; 2014, production target is 500,000-600,000; 2015 and following, 1,000,000 released per year.

Tribal Supplementation Programs: Population Trend Graphs are attached.

Tribal production of various salmon species is laid out in their salmon restoration plan, Wy-Kan-Ush-Mi Wa-Kish-Wit. The population trend graphs demonstrate increasing population trends across all species.

Tribal coho supplementation programs include the Clearwater, Methow and Wenatchee Rivers, as well as the Yakima River. These programs have utilized lower Columbia coho, which are currently listed under the ESA, as broodstock, and the returning adults are not clipped and not listed. [Similarly, Willamette coho, although not a tribal run, are not clipped or listed.]

Tribal sockeye natural production is planned for the Deschutes and upper Yakima Basin.

Other programs currently underway include:

- Imnaha Spring Chinook Hatchery program, ODFW and Nez Perce
- Johnson Creek Summer Chinook program, Idaho, CRITFC/Nez Perce
- Walla Walla River Spring Chinook, CRITFC/Umatilla
- Looking Glass Creek Spring Chinook, CRITFC/Umatilla, Nez Perce
- Snake River Fall Chinook, CRITFC/ Nez Perce

Canadian Supplementation Programs

Continuing and expanding supplementation programs for sockeye are ongoing in the Okanagan system. Current production is approximately 2 million sockeye smolts; Projected increase to 5 million by 2017, and 8 million by 2023. Canadian Dept. of Fish and Oceans/Okanogan Nation Alliance.